

Marking Scheme

#1

Question	Marking details	Marks available				Maths	Prac
		AO1	AO2	AO3	Total		
(a)	LHS – RHS (3.0155 – 3.01493 – 0.00055 i.e. standard knowledge of conservation of mass-energy (1) ×931 or equivalent (× c ²) (1) 0.0186 [MeV] (2.98 × 10 ⁻¹⁵ [J]) (1)	1	1 1		3	2	
(b) (i)	Mass of p + 2n (3.0246u) - 3.0155 (1) Dividing by 3 and ×931 (or equivalent) (1) Answer = 2.82 [MeV / nucleon] (4.52 × 10 ⁻¹³ [J/nucleon]) (1)		3		3	2	
(b) (ii)	Tritium decays to helium-3 or helium has a better proton/neutron ratio or proton has a smaller mass than neutron (1) And emits energy or products have lower mass or helium more stable (1) You would expect the products to have a greater BE/N or contrary to what you would expect (1)			3	3		
Question total		1	5	3	9	4	0

#2

Question	Marking details	Marks available				Maths	Prac
		AO1	AO2	AO3	Total		
4 (a)	Diagram of radiation in B-field or E-field (or description) [1] α, β deviate in opposite directions [1] α, β and γ radiation all go correct directions [1]	1	1 1		3		
(b) (i)	Decay constant obtained 1.51 × 10 ⁻⁷ [s ⁻¹] or 0.013 [day ⁻¹] or 1.58T _s [1] 3.5e ^{-0.013 × 84} or equivalent e.g. 2 ^{1.58} [1] Answer = 1.169 [cps] (no more required) [1]		3		3	3	
(b) (ii)	Subtracting background radiation from initial (3 cps) [1] Calculating correct cps after 84 days (i.e. 1.002 cps) [1] Adding background radiation (i.e. 1.502) [1] Valid conclusion: close to expected or okay / due to randomness and low numbers ecf [1]			4	4	2	
Question 4 total		1	5	4	10	5	0

#3

Question	Marking details	Marks available				Maths	Prac
		AO1	AO2	AO3	Total		
(a)	Falling amplitude (1) [Air] resistance or dissipative forces or equivalent (1)	2			2		
(b)	$T = 2\pi \sqrt{\frac{0.200}{22.0}}$ [s] (1) = 0.599 [s] (1) T read from graph = 0.60 [s] (1) Prediction convincing [no significant difference] (1)			4	4	2	
(c)	Point at 0.15 s marked – no tolerance (first displacement zero) (1) $v_{\max} = 0.020 \times \frac{2\pi}{0.60}$ [m s ⁻¹] (1) = 0.21 m s ⁻¹ UNIT (1) Too large owing to energy dissipation in first quarter cycle (1) Accept less detailed reason, such as 'because of damping' Alternative for last 3 marks: $v_{\max} = 0.018 \times \frac{2\pi}{0.60}$ [m s ⁻¹] (1) = 0.19 m s ⁻¹ UNIT (1) Too small because amplitude has fallen or equivalent (1) Alternative for last 3 marks (mean found): $v_{\max} = 0.019 \times \frac{2\pi}{0.60}$ [m s ⁻¹] (2) = 0.20 m s ⁻¹ UNIT (1) no comment required	1	1 1 1		4	2	
(d)	Data from one maximum or minimum on the graph (other than at t = 0) substituted into the given equation or equivalent accept slips (1) $\lambda = 0.35$ [s ⁻¹] [±0.03 s ⁻¹] (1)		2		2	1	
Question total		3	5	4	12	5	0

#4

Question		Marking details	Marks available				Maths	Prac
			AO1	AO2	AO3	Total		
6	(a)	N.B. no marks for beta and alpha Answer = 232 (1) Answer = 90 (1)		2		2		
	(b)	Conversion to second ($\times 10^3 \times 365 \times 24 \times 3600$) [4.4×10^{17}] (1) Use of decay constant = $\frac{0.693}{\text{half-life}}$ [$1.6 \times 10^{-18} \text{ s}^{-1}$] (1) $N = \frac{5.0 \times 10^{-3} \text{ kg}}{3.9 \times 10^{-25} \text{ kg}}$ [allow $\frac{5 \times 10^{-3}}{232 \times 1.66 \times 10^{-27}}$] (1) Use of $A = \lambda \times N$ (1) Answer = 20 kBq ((unit)) (1) [accept s^{-1} for Bq] [Accept: correct answer in other units, e.g. $1.7 \times 10^5 \text{ day}^{-1}$ If not in Bq and no unit 3max]	1 1	1 1		5	4	
	(c)	(i) Either Half-life around 3.8 throws (or get λ from equation or check that decreased by a sixth once) (1) $2 \times$ half-lives around 7.8 throws (or calculate another point using λ or check that decreased by a sixth again) (1) Expected activity as one sixth checked e.g. $\frac{6000}{6} \approx 1009$ (or check that reduced by a sixth for 3 rd occasion) (1) Considered conclusion - all is about right (1) Or Exp decay if same interval \rightarrow same fractional change (1) Calculation of fractional change for a given throw interval (1) Calculation of fractional change for two further same throw intervals (1) Conclusion: about right (1) Or Calculate λ 3 times using three different point \rightarrow conclusion NB conclusion only after legitimate work			4	4	3	4
		(ii) Smaller numbers involved (1) More [%] random error expected (1)			2	2		2
		Question 6 total	2	5	6	13	7	6

#5

Question		Marking details	Marks available				Maths	Prac
			AO1	AO2	AO3	Total		
	(a)	4,2 for helium (1) 205, 81 for thalium (1)	1	1		2		
	(b)	Binding energy method: BE of Bi = 7.87×209 (=1 644.83) (1) Correct method for working out mass defect of He or Tl (1) Conversion of mass defect to energy i.e. $\times 931$ or $E = mc^2$ (1) Values correct for BE 28.40 and 1 620.03 (1) Final answer = 3.6 MeV (1) Alternative: Calculating mass of 83p and 126n (=210.7021 u) (1) BE of Bi = 7.87×209 (=1 644.83) (1) Subtracting mass defect for Bi mass (=208.9354 u) (1) LHS mass - RHS mass (=0.0039 u) (1) Final answer = 3.63 MeV (1)			5	5	4	
	(c)	(i) Sub into half-life = $\frac{0.693}{\lambda}$ (gives 1.16×10^{-27}) (1) Number of mol = $\frac{1}{209}$ OR mass of 1 atom = $209 \times 1.66 \times 10^{-27}$ (1) Number of nuclei/atoms = 2.88×10^{21} (1) Answer = 3.3×10^{-8} Bq (1) unit mark	1		1	4	4	
		(ii) $3.3 \times 10^{-8} \text{ ecf} \times 5 \times 365 \times 24 \times 3600$ OR $N = N_0 e^{-\lambda t}$ used (1) Answer = 525 (1)		2		2	2	
		Question total	2	6	5	13	10	0

#6

Question		Marking details	Marks available					
			AO1	AO2	AO3	Total	Maths	Prac
(a)		1.61 and 2.08 for \ln distance (1) 600 \times 0.4 seen (or 240 counts or implied) (1) \ln (corrected) answers = 6.26 and 5.33 (implies previous) (1)		3		3	2	3
(b)		<p>One mark each for the circled points plotted correctly</p>		1		1	1	1
(c)		Line of best fit as shown above (1) Correct method for gradient (1) Gradient = -1.98 (allow \pm 0.05) (1)		3		3	3	3
(d)		Taking logs correctly e.g. $\log C = \log k - 2 \times \log d$ (1) Comparison with $y = mx + c$ OR gradient clearly identified (1)		2		2	2	2
(e)		Gradient agrees well with inverse square law (1) Points are close to line of best fit (1) Line of best fit is straight (1)			3	3		3
(f)		Absorbs other radiation	1			1		1
(g)		Ionising (1) Kills cells / causes cancer / DNA damage / causes cataracts etc. (1) Hence unethical & linked to risk (1)			3	3		
		Question total	1	9	6	16	8	13

#7

Question			Marking details	Marks available				Maths	Prac
				AO1	AO2	AO3	Total		
(a)	(i)	Charge: $6 = 7 - 1 + 0$ or $0 = 1 - 1 + 0$ or $\frac{1}{3} = \frac{2}{3} - 1 + 0$ (1) Baryon number: $14 = 14 + 0 + 0$ or $1 = 1 + 0 + 0$ or $\frac{1}{3} = \frac{1}{3} + 0 + 0$ (1) Lepton number: $0 = 0 + 1 - 1$ or $6 = 6 + 1 - 1$ (1)		3		3			
	(ii)	Change of quark flavour [from d to u] (1) Neutrino involved accept symbol (1) Accept long half-life		2		2			
(b)	(i)	Use of the equation $T_{\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{\ln 2}{\lambda}$ (1) $T_{\frac{1}{2}} = 1.81 \times 10^{11}$ [s] (1) 5 730 [year] (1)	1	1		3	3		
	(ii)	Use of the equation $A = \lambda N$ (1) Method for obtaining N correct ($6.02 \times 10^{23} \times 1 \times 10^{-12}$) (1) Answer = 2.30 Bq unit mark (1)	1	1		3	3		
	(iii)	$0.34 \times 10^{-12} = 1 \times 10^{-12} e^{-\lambda t}$ i.e. substitution or into $\frac{1}{2^n}$ (1) Taking logs correctly e.g. $\log A = \log A_0 - \lambda t$ (1) 2.82×10^{11} [s] or 8900 [year] (1)	1	1		3	3		
(c)	11, 5 for boron (1) Positron symbol correct e.g. e+ or beta + (1) Neutrino symbol correct (ν_e) but accept ν (1) Any fourth particle added lose 1 mark		1	1	3				
(d)	Any 3 × (1) from: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> cannot tell who is correct or words to that effect further experiments or research must be carried out experiments at higher (collision) energies (to find other particles) / bigger or better colliders time/history will (probably) show who was correct further theory / theoretical research reference to the Higgs behaving as expected or not as expected those who claim not should suggest an alternative Higgs thought to be detected with 5σ [confidence] Don't accept any reference to charge or baryon number or lepton number conservation			3	3				
Question total			3	12	5	20	9	0	